

## Student Life Welcome Grants

Assessment Criteria



### ASSESSMENT CRITERIA

Applications will be assessed against the criteria below to determine eligibility and overall impact.

	Excellent	Good	Satisfactory	Limited	Poor
<b>Alignment with Key Focus Areas</b>	Strong, well-integrated alignment across multiple focus areas	Strong alignment with one or more focus areas	Clearly aligns with at least one focus area	Weak or unclear alignment	Does not align with any focus areas
<b>Inclusivity &amp; Participation</b>	Highly inclusive with strong, proactive strategies to engage diverse student groups	Broad participation with clear inclusivity strategies	Open to a reasonable cohort with some inclusive elements	Narrow audience with minimal consideration of inclusivity	Very limited or exclusive participation
<b>Student Benefit &amp; Impact</b>	Significant, well-articulated impact aligned with student experience goals	Strong benefits across multiple areas	Clear benefit in at least one area	Limited or vague benefits	Little to no clear benefit to students
<b>Creativity &amp; Innovation</b>	Highly original, standout concept with strong potential impact	Clearly innovative or distinctive	Some creative or unique elements	Limited originality	Not creative; duplicates existing initiatives
<b>Feasibility &amp; Planning</b>	Exceptionally well-planned and highly achievable	Well-planned with clear logistics and resourcing	Adequately planned; generally feasible	Major gaps in planning; significant concerns	Not feasible; lacks planning
<b>Sustainability</b>	Exceptional integration of sustainable practices; minimal environmental impact	Strong consideration of sustainability with practical measures in place	Some sustainability efforts evident but not fully embedded	Limited consideration of sustainability; minimal impact reduction	No consideration of sustainability; significant environmental impact
<b>Budget &amp; Value for Money</b>	Excellent value for money with clear, efficient use of funds	Well-justified budget demonstrating good value	Reasonable budget with acceptable value	Weak budget with questionable value	Poor justification; not cost-effective
<b>Risk Management</b>	Thorough and proactive risk management with minimal residual risk	Clear risk planning with strong mitigation strategies	Basic risks identified with some mitigation	Risks identified but poorly addressed	High risk with no mitigation

## Student Life Welcome Grants

Assessment Criteria



### OUTCOMES

#### **Funded**

The project has been approved for full support with no amendments required.

#### **Funded with Conditions**

The project has been approved subject to clarification or amendments in specific areas (e.g. budget detail, timeline, risk management).

#### **Unsuccessful (Eligible but Not Selected)**

While the application met eligibility requirements, it was not selected due to stronger alignment, innovation, or impact demonstrated by other submissions.

#### **Ineligible**

The application did not meet one or more eligibility requirements and could not be considered for funding.